PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P101097WO02	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/GB2008/050319	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 May 2008 (02.05.2008)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 May 2007 (02.05.2007)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
		rence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority				
		Date of issuance of this report 03 November 2009 (03.11.2009)				

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int

Dorothée Mülhausen

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

1

To:				PCT			
·							
see form PCT/ISA/220			1	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
			**************************************	(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
	•		Date of mail	ing rear) see form PCT/ISA/210 (s	second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file			FOR FURTHER ACTION				
see form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International PCT/GB2008/050319 02.05.2008			See paragraph 2 below ing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 02.05.2007		nonth/year)		
International Patent Clas	sification (IPC) or	both national cla	ssification and IPC				
INV. C13K1/02 D21	B1/16 C12P19	/14 B01F5/04	B05B7/06				
Applicant		· ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PURSUIT DYNAMI	CS PLC						

1. This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating t	o the following items	,			
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	oinion					
☐ Box No . II	Priority		• :	•			
☐ Box No. III	•	nent of opinior	with regard to novelty.	, inventive step and industria	al applicability		
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	•	,	,	, p		
⊠ Box No. V			Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with replanations supporting s	egard to novelty, inventive s such statement	tep or industrial		
□ Box No. VI	Certain docum		• • • •	·			
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the interna		tional application	nal application				
図 Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the i	nternational application	1			
2. FURTHER ACT	ION						
written opinion of the applicant ch	of the Internations coses an Author reau under Rule	al Preliminary I ity other than t	Examining Authority ("I his one to be the IPEA	nion will usually be consider PEA") except that this does and the chosen IPEA has no International Searching Au	not apply where otifed the		
submit to the IPI	EA a written reply mailing of Form	y together, who	ere appropriate, with ar	n of the IPEA, the applicant nendments, before the expi of 22 months from the prior	ration of 3 months		
For further optio	ns, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.		,			
3. For further detail	ls, see notes to F	Form PCT/ISA/	220.				
					•		
Name and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Date of completion of	Authorized Officer	, was Petensa.		
<u></u>	Patent Office - Gits		this opinion		Esperate Marie		
Will Chrobsan	ratent Onice - Gio	scriner Sir. 103	can tarm		411 3		
D-10958 B		schiner Str. 103	see form PCT/ISA/210	Schröder, Gunnar			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2008/050319

_	Box	k No.	I Basis of the opinion			
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	\boxtimes	the	international application in the language in which it was filed			
			anslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the boses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).			
2.	☐ This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))					
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	[□ a	a sequence listing			
		⊐ t	able(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	orma	t of material:			
		□ c	on paper			
		i i	n electronic form			
	c. ti	me o	f filing/furnishing:			
		□ c	contained in the international application as filed.			
		□ fi	iled together with the international application in electronic form.			
	. [] f	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
4.		has copi	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.			
5.	Add	litiona	al comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2008/050319

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,5,8,10,11,19,21,22,25,27

No: Claims

1,2,4,6,7,9,12-18,20,23,24,26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3,8,11

No: Claims

1,2,4-7,9,10,12-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-27

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 201 596 (BURROUGHS REGINALD L [US] ET AL) 6 May 1980

D2: GB-A-1 028 211 (ESCHER WYSS GMBH) 4 May 1966

D3: GB-A-995 660 (ESCHER WYSS GMBH) 23 June 1965

D4: US 2003/147301 A1 (EKHOLM ROLF [SE]) 7 August 2003

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 2 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (abstract and column 3, line 23 - column 5, line 40; figure 1; the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A process for the treatment of biomass (cellulosic waste materials), comprising: forming a biomass slurry by mixing biomass with a working fluid (water); inducing the biomass slurry to flow through an inlet into a passage (injection block 28); and

injecting a high velocity transport fluid (steam) into the slurry through a conduit communicating with the passage (conduit 70);

Steam injectors for injecting high pressure and/or high speed steam into fluids or pulps are known, see e.g. document D3 (page 2, line 9 - page 4, line 94 and figures 1-8) and document D4 (paragraphs 0015-0019). The use of a nozzle as a conduit for injecting steam is considered to be an implicit feature of the apparatus of document D1. Furthermore, the injection of high pressure steam into the pulp is assumed to result in shear forces, atomisation, formation of low pressure (partial vacuum) and high pressure regions and a condensation shock wave.

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (abstract and column 1, line 55 - column 5, line 40; figure 1; the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A biomass treatment apparatus, comprising:

a mixing container adapted to mix a supply of biomass and a supply of working fluid to form a biomass slurry (implicit features of the process, wherein it is specified that an *aqueous mixture of cellulosic material* is fed into the reactor, see column 1, lines 55-65 and column 3, lines 22-35);

a pump adapted to pump the slurry from the mixing container (column 3, lines 35-40 and column 4, lines 5-12 and 45-68); and

at least one fluid processor adapted to receive the slurry from the mixing container (injection block 28);

wherein the fluid processor comprises:

a fluid passage having a passage inlet in fluid communication with the mixing container (pipe 26) and a passage outlet (valve 42 and pipe 44); and a transport fluid nozzle opening into the passage intermediate the inlet and the outlet (conduits 68), the nozzle adapted to inject a high velocity transport fluid into passage (implicit features, see above).

3. Dependent claims 4, 6, 7 and 9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty.

The subject-matter of claims 4, 6 and 7 is anticipated by D1, in which a mineral acid, preferably sulfuric acid, is injected into the slurry immediately after the point of steam injection (D1, column 3, lines 3-9 and lines 43-49; column 5, lines 10-17; figure 1, acid injection conduits 70).

The subject-matter of claim 9 is anticipated by D1, column 3, lines 9-13.

4. Dependent claims 13-18, 20, 23, 24 and 26 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements

of the PCT in respect of novelty.

The subject-matter of claim 13 is anticipated by D1, column 2, lines 46-51. The back pressure valves of claims 14 and 15 correspond to pressure valves 42 and 46 of D1 (column 5, lines 23-35). The features of claims 16-18 correspond to the acid injection conduits 70 of D1 (see passages cited under point 3), which are adjacent to the site of steam injection. The holding vessel of claim 20 corresponds to collection tank 48 of D1 (column 5, lines 32-35). The progressive cavity pump of claim 23 corresponds to the progressing cavity pump of D1, column 4, lines 5-12. The feature of claim 24 is anticipated by D1, column 1, lines 62-65 and column 3, lines 30-35, where it is specified that the reaction zone is tubular (pipe reactor). The vapour separation mechanism of claim 26 corresponds to the condenser 50 of D1 (column 5, lines 35-37 and figure 1).

5. The features of claims 5, 10, 19, 21, 22, 25 and 27 are slight constructional changes / specifications which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen.

The features of claims 5, 19, 21, 22 and 25 are particularly evident from documents D2 and D3 (passages cited in the search report).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

1. Claim 1 is not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as its scope is broader than justified by the description. Claim 1 describes a process for the treatment of "biomass", in which a "working fluid" and a "transport fluid" are utilized in an apparatus comprising a "passage". The claimed subject-matter encompasses any kind of biomass, any kind of fluids and any kind of passage, whereas support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT has been given only for *lignocellulosic* biomass (i.e. not for biomass such as manure), water as the working fluid and steam as the transport fluid, and the passage consists

of a reactor equipped with a steam injector having specific features. It is not obvious what other kinds of biomass, fluids or devices could be suitable for the claimed process. Thus, said claim is not supported and disclosed over its whole breadth.

- 2. In claim 1 an attempt is made to define the method by reference to a result to be achieved. Article 6 in conjunction with Rule 6.3 (a) requires that all the essential features of the claimed invention have to be indicated in the claim in technical terms. Claims which attempt to define the invention by a result to be achieved should not be allowed, in particular if they only amount to claiming the underlying technical problem. The description (page 8, line 8 page 9, line 30 and page 13, lines 5-18) conveys the impression that the effects described in claim 1 can only be achieved when a high pressure steam is used at supersonic velocity.
- 3. Claim 8 is not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as its scope is broader than justified by the description. According to claim 8, *any* gas can be used as first and/or second catalyst, whereas it is not obvious which gas other than CO2 or air (description, page 12, lines 9-11) is suitable.
- 4. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 10 and 11 are not clear.

 Since it is not specified at which step in the overall process the additional fermentation step is to be carried out, it is theoretically left open the possibility of introducing the microorganisms together with the first catalyst (acid) during the initial treatment of raw biomass. However, the description teaches otherwise (page 14, lines 4-7), and it seems impossible that alcohol can be recovered in this first step already.
- 5. The features of the apparatus claims 12-27 are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).